arXiv:1201.5023v2 [math.OA] 29 Mar 2012

A DUALITY OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS WHICH DOES NOT INVOLVE THE HAAR MEASURE

YULIA KUZNETSOVA

March 30, 2012

Abstract. We present a duality construction for locally compacts groups that is simpler than the theory of Kac algebras and does not involve the Haar measure in the definition of the duality functor. On the category of coinvolutive Hopf-von Neumann algebras (roughly speaking, these are Kac algebras without weight), we define a functor $M \mapsto \widehat{M}$ such that for every locally compact group G, the algebra $C_0(G)^{**}$ is reflexive. Here $C_0(G)^{**}$ is the enveloping von Neumann algebra of $C_0(G)$, identified with its second dual. Conversely, every commutative reflexive algebra is of this form. The dual algebra $\widehat{C_0(G)^{**}}$ is the big group algebra $W^*(G)$ of J.Ernest.

1. Introduction

A famous theorem of L. S. Pontryagin states that a commutative locally compact group G is isomorphic to its second dual group, where the dual group $\widehat{G}$ is the set of all unitary characters of G, which has a natural group structure.

It is well known that a straightforward generalization to the non-commutative case is impossible, because the set $\widehat{G}$ of irreducible unitary representations of G — the natural analogue of characters — has no reasonable group structure. The problem can be stated, however, in a clear abstract form as follows.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a category. Call a functor $\widehat{\ }: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ a duality if $\widehat{\ }^{\,2}$ is isomorphic to the identity functor. Let $\mathcal{LCG}$ and $\mathcal{LCAG}$ be the categories of all (respectively abelian) locally compact groups. On $\mathcal{LCAG}$ we have the Pontryagin duality functor $\widehat{\ }$. The task is to construct a category $\mathcal{H}$ with duality, and a faithful functor $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{LCG} \to \mathcal{H}$ so that the Pontryagin duality is preserved: $\widehat{\mathcal{A}(G)} \simeq \mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})$ for every $G \in \mathcal{LCAG}$. This is illustrated by the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H} \arrow[rr, "\widehat{\ }"] & & \mathcal{H} \\
\mathcal{LCG} \arrow[u, "\mathcal{A}"] & & \mathcal{LCG} \arrow[u, "\mathcal{A}"] \\
\mathcal{LCAG} \arrow[u] \arrow[rr, "\widehat{\ }"] & & \mathcal{LCAG} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this setting, the duality problem has been solved by the theory of Kac algebras (the canonical reference is [ES]), a theory later developed to that of locally compact quantum groups (see a recent survey monograph [QS]). A conceptual difference between this theory and that of Pontryagin is the distinguished role of the Haar measure (or its generalization called the Haar weight). In the classical theory, the dual group is defined in purely algebraic and topological terms: it is just the group of continuous characters. On the contrary, in the theory of Kac algebras the Haar weight is a part of the definition and is crucial in the construction of the dual object.

In this paper, we propose another solution to the problem above, which does not use the Haar weight to define the duality.

Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be the category of Hopf–von Neumann algebras (von Neumann algebras with comultiplication and antipode, see definitions in section 2). We define a functor $\widehat{\ }$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$ and a full subcategory $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}_0$ on which this functor is a duality. The objects of $\mathcal{H}$ are just $M \in \mathcal{H}_0$ such that $M \simeq \widehat{\widehat{M}}$; let us call such algebras *reflexive*. We show next that there is a faithful contravariant functor $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{LCG} \to \mathcal{H}$, such that for an abelian group G, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{A}(G)} \simeq \mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})$.

Very close results were obtained in the thesis of E. Kirchberg in 1977 [Kir]. For a large class of algebras, our functor coincides with the weak dual $W^*U(M_*)$ of Kirchberg. However, the classes of reflexive algebras are different in these two approaches.

The following theorem has no analogues in [Kir]: if $M \simeq \widehat{\widehat{M}}$ and M is commutative, then $M = C_0(G)^{**}$ for some locally compact group G. Before, the only known characterizations of this kind assumed the existence of a Haar weight.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Prof. M. Enock for pointing me out to the thesis [Kir] and for sending a copy of it. I thank Prof. E. Kirchberg for useful correspondence concerning his results. As always, I acknowledge gratefully discussions with S. Akbarov.

2. Definitions and notations

Notations 2.1. We require that a von Neumann algebra is unital, but we allow it to be zero, i.e. the unit may equal zero. For a pair of von Neumann algebras M, N, we denote by $M\bar{\otimes}N$ their von Neumann tensor product. By $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathrm{op}}Y$ we denote the projective operator space tensor product of operator spaces X, Y (more details on operator spaces can be found in [ER]). And finally, $X \otimes Y$ denotes the algebraic tensor product of two linear spaces X, Y. If H is a Hilbert space, then $B(H)$ is the space of bounded linear operators on H.

Recall the notion of a C^*-enveloping algebra, or a C^*-envelope of a *-Banach algebra [Pal, §11.1]:

Definition 2.2. Let A be a *-Banach algebra, and let $I \subset A$ be the common kernel of all its *-representations (this is a two-sided *-ideal). A is called *reduced* if $I = \{0\}$. For any $x \in A$, $\|x\|_* = \sup\{\|\pi(x)\|\} < \infty$, where supremum is taken over all *-representations π of A. It is known that there is always a constant C_A (depending on A) such that $\|x\|_* \leqslant C_A\|x\|$ for all $x \in A$ [Pal, 11.1.5]. On A/I, the quotient seminorm is a norm; the completion of A/I with respect to this norm is called the *C^*-envelope* of A and denoted by $C^*(A)$. The canonical map from A to $C^*(A)$ will be denoted by i_A. This map is injective if and only if A is reduced.

For every C^*-algebra A, one can associate in a canonical way a von Neumann algebra $W^*(A)$, which is called the *enveloping von Neumann algebra of* A and has the following universality property [Dix, 12.1.5]:

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a C^*-algebra and let W be its von Neumann envelope. Let $\Phi : A \to W$ be the canonical morphism. Then for every *-representation $\pi : A \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ there is unique normal *-representation $\tilde{\pi} : W \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\tilde{\pi}(\Phi x) = \pi(x)$ for every $x \in A$. Moreover, $\tilde{\pi}(W)$ is the weak closure of $\pi(A)$.*

Explicitly, $W^*(A)$ is the closure of the image of A under the universal representation. Moreover, it can be naturally identified with the second dual space of A. Therefore we often write just A^{**} instead of $W^*(A)$, having in mind this enveloping algebra structure on A^{**}.

A *-Banach algebra is norm dense in its C^*-envelope, and a C^*-algebra is ultraweakly dense in its von Neumann envelope. With a certain abuse of notation, we will also write $W^*(A)$ instead of $W^*(C^*(A))$ if A is a Banach *-algebra.

The following definition is crucial for our work. It can be found, e.g., in [ES, §1.2]:

Definition 2.4. A *coinvolutive Hopf-von Neumann algebra* is a triple $(M, \Delta, \varkappa)$, where M is a von Neumann algebra, $\Delta : M \to M \bar{\otimes} M$ (comultiplication) is an injective normal unital homomorphism such that $(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$, and $\varkappa : M \to M$ (coinvolution) is an involutive *-antihomomorphism such that $\varkappa^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $(\varkappa \otimes \varkappa)\Delta = \theta\Delta\varkappa$, where θ is the flip map: $\theta(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

A morphism of coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras M, N is a normal *-homomorphism $\varphi : M \to N$ such that: $\Delta_N \circ \varphi = (\varphi \otimes \varphi)\Delta_M$ and $\varkappa_N \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \varkappa_M$. Note that, contrary to [ES], we do not require that $\varphi(1) = 1$.

In the theory of operator spaces, there are two most natural notions of an algebra:

Definition 2.5. A *completely contractive Banach algebra* is a Banach algebra A which is an operator space such that the multiplication in A is completely contractive, i.e. it is extended to a continuous map $\mathfrak{m} : A \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathrm{op}}} A \to A$. An *operator algebra* is a Banach algebra A which is an operator space such that the multiplication in A is extended to a continuous map $\mathfrak{m} : A \overset{h}{\otimes} A \to A$.

The predual M_* of a coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra M is a completely contractive Banach *-algebra, but in general not an operator algebra. For example, for the most popular algebra $M = L_\infty(G)$ one has $M_* = L_1(G)$, and this is known not to be an operator algebra.

When we speak of *-Banach algebras, we always suppose that the involution is isometric. It is known that the coinvolution $\varkappa$ is also always isometric.

2.1. **Common group algebras.** There is a variety of algebras associated to a locally compact group G. We recall them here to have the freedom to use the notations below without extra explanations.

The most popular commutative algebras are: $C_0(G)$ — the algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity; $C_b(G)$ — the algebra of continuous bounded functions; $L_\infty(G)$ — the algebra of equivalence classes of essentially bounded measurable functions; $A(G)$ — the Fourier algebra, equal to the space of coefficients of the regular representation; $B(G)$ — the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, equal to the linear span of all continuous positive-definite functions. All these are considered with pointwise multiplication, involution being the complex conjugation.

There is also a large choice of convolution algebras (and their completions): $M(G)$ — the algebra of finite regular measures; $L_1(G)$ — the subalgebra (in fact, an ideal) of absolutely continuous measures in $M(G)$; $C^*(G)$ — the full group C^*-algebra, equal to the C^*-envelope of $L_1(G)$; $C^*_r(G)$ — the reduced group C^*-algebra, generated by definition by the regular representation of $L_1(G)$; $VN(G)$ — the group von Neumann algebra, equal to the weak closure of $C^*_r(G)$ in $B(L_2(G))$; $W^*(G)$ — the Ernest algebra, equal to the von Neumann envelope of $C^*(G)$.

In this list, $L_\infty(G)$, $VN(G)$ and $W^*(G)$ have structures of coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras. There is also an algebra which is rarely used but is important in the present study: $C_0(G)^{**} = W^*(C_0(G))$, the enveloping von Neumann algebra of $C_0(G)$. Since it can be identified with the second dual of $C_0(G)$, it is the dual space of $M(G) = C_0(G)^*$.

Instead of proving explicitly that $M(G)^*$ has a structure of a coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra, we can apply the known theory [ES, § 1.6] to $M = VN(G)$: its predual is $M_* = A(G)$, which has the C^*-envelope equal to $C_0(G)$ — see a proof a few lines below — and then $W^*(M_*) = C_0(G)^{**}$, as proved in [ES], has a structure of a coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra (agreeing with the structure of $C_0(G)$).

Proposition 2.6. $C^*(A(G)) = C_0(G)$.

Proof. It is known that $A(G)$ is contained and dense in $C_0(G)$. The irreducible representations of $A(G)$ are just characters; it is known that every (nonzero) character of $A(G)$ has form $f \mapsto f(t)$, $f \in A(G)$, for some $t \in G$. It follows that $\|f\|_* = \sup_{t\in G}|f(t)|$ for every $f \in A(G)$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a norm (not just a seminorm), so that $C^*(A(G))$ is the completion of $A(G)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_*$. Now it is clear that $C^*(A(G))$ is just the closure of $A(G)$ in $C_0(G)$, and the statement follows. □

We prove also the following useful proposition:

Proposition 2.7. *$C_b(G)$ is a *-subalgebra in $M(G)^*$, with the natural pairing $f(\mu) = \int f\,d\mu$, $f \in C_b(G)$, $\mu \in M(G)$.*

Proof. This can be seen from the description of $M(G)^*$ as the bicommutant $C_0(G)''$ of $C_0(G)$ in the universal representation π, and the explicit form of π. It is known that $\pi = \oplus\pi_\mu$ is the direct sum of all GNS-representations, corresponding to positive linear functionals on $C_0(G)$. Every such functional φ_μ is just the integral over a positive Radon measure μ. It is easy to see that π_μ is the action on $L_2(\mu)$ by pointwise multiplication, so that π acts on $H = \oplus_{\mu\geqslant 0}L_2(\mu)$.

For $f \in C_b(G)$, let T_f be the operator on H acting as $T_f(\oplus x_\mu) = \oplus f x_\mu$. Then for any $x, y \in H$, for which we can assume $x_\mu = y_\mu = 0$ except for μ in a finite set S, we have $\langle T_f x, y\rangle = \sum_{\mu\in S}\int f x\bar{y} d\mu$. Since $\int x\bar{y}d\mu$ can be approximated by integrals over compact sets, there is $g \in C_0(G)$ approximating $\langle T_f x, y\rangle$; this implies that f is in the weak closure of $C_0(G)$, i.e. in $C_0(G)''$.

The pairing with $M(G)$ is given for $f \in C_0(G)$ and for $\mu \geqslant 0$ by $\varphi_\mu(f) = \langle f, 1_\mu\rangle = \int f\,d\mu$, where 1_μ is identical 1 in $L_2(\mu)$ and 0 in other coordinates. By weak continuity, this pairing is also valid for $f \in C_b(G)$.

Now the statement follows since $f \mapsto T_f$ is a *-homomorphism. □

3. Representations with generator

M is a coinvolutive Hopf-von Neumann algebra, M_* its predual, which is a Banach *-algebra. Suppose that $M \subset B(H)$. For $x, y \in H$ denote by $\mu_{xy} \in M_*$ the functional $\mu_{xy}(a) = \langle ax, y\rangle$, $a \in M$. Since M_* is a quotient space of $N(H)$ (the space of trace class operators), for every $\mu \in M_*$ there is a representation $\mu = \sum \mu_{x_n,y_n}$ with $x_n, y_n \in H$, $\sum \|x_n\|\,\|y_n\| < \infty$. Moreover, $\|\mu\| = \inf \sum \|x_n\|\,\|y_n\|$, where the infinum is taken over all such decompositions.

For another Hilbert space K, there is a natural isomorphism of operator spaces [ER, 7.2.4] $(M_*\widehat{\otimes}_{op}N(K))^* \simeq M\bar{\otimes}B(K)$, where $\widehat{\otimes}_{op}$ is the operator space projective tensor product. In particular, every $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(H)$ can be viewed as a bilinear functional on $M_* \times N(H)$.

From the other side, $M\bar{\otimes}B(K) \subset B(H \otimes K)$. We will use explicit coordinate form of these operators. For $x, y \in K$, denote by ω_{xy} the functional $\omega_{xy}(b) = \langle bx, y\rangle$, $b \in B(K)$. For $a \in M$, $b \in B(K)$ we have with any $x, y \in H$, $x', y' \in K$:

$$\begin{aligned}(a\otimes b)(\mu_{xy}, \omega_{x'y'}) &= \mu_{xy}(a)\omega_{x'y'}(b) = \langle ax, y\rangle\langle bx', y'\rangle \\ &= \langle ax\otimes bx', y\otimes y'\rangle = \langle (a\otimes b)(x\otimes x'), y\otimes y'\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By continuity it follows that any $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(K) \subset B(H\otimes K)$ acts as

$$U(\mu_{xy}, \omega_{x'y'}) = \langle U(x\otimes x'), y\otimes y'\rangle. \tag{1}$$

Definition 3.1. Let π be a *-representation of M_* on a Hilbert space K. A partial isometry $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(K)$ is called a *generator* of π if

$$U(\mu, \omega) = \omega(\pi(\mu)) \tag{2}$$

for every $\mu \in M_*$, $\omega \in N(H)$.

The original definition of a generator of a representation, equivalent to one given above, is given in [ES, § 1.5]. It is also proved there that if π is non-degenerate then U is unitary.

If π is a *-representation of M_* on a Hilbert space K with a basis (f_α), denote by $\pi_{\alpha\beta} \in M$ the linear functional on M_* defined by $\pi_{\alpha\beta}(\mu) = \langle \pi(\mu) f_\beta, f_\alpha \rangle$, $\mu \in M_*$; in other words, $\pi_{\alpha\beta}(\mu) = \omega_{f_\beta, f_\alpha}(\pi(\mu))$.

Definition 3.2. Call a *-representation π of M_* on a Hilbert space K *standard* if in some basis of K,

$$\sum_\beta \pi^*_{\gamma\alpha} * \pi_{\gamma\beta} = \sum_\beta \pi_{\alpha\gamma} * \pi^*_{\beta\gamma} = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = \beta \\ 0, & \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

for every α, β, the series being absolutely convergent in the M_*-weak topology of M.

Note that a standard representation is automatically non-degenerate. From the proposition 3.5 below it will follow that this definition does not depend, in fact, on the choice of a basis.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M act on a Hilbert space H with a basis e_α. Then for every $x, y \in H$ and every $a, b \in M$,*

$$(ab)(\mu_{xy}) = \sum_\alpha \mu_{e_\alpha y}(a) \mu_{x e_\alpha}(b),$$

where the series converges absolutely.

Proof. This is an immediate calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)(\mu_{xy}) &= \langle abx, y \rangle = \langle bx, a^* y \rangle = \sum_\alpha \langle bx, e_\alpha \rangle \langle e_\alpha, a^* y \rangle \\ &= \sum_\alpha \langle bx, e_\alpha \rangle \langle a e_\alpha, y \rangle = \sum_\alpha \mu_{e_\alpha y}(a) \mu_{x e_\alpha}(b).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let $M \subset B(H)$, and let $\pi : M_* \to B(K)$ be a representation of M_*. Let $U \in M \bar{\otimes} B(K)$ be such that $U(\mu, \omega) = \omega(\pi(\mu))$ for every $\mu \in M_*$, $\omega \in N(K)$. Let $(e_\alpha), (f_\xi)$ be bases in H and K respectively. Then for any $x, y \in H$*

$$\langle U(x \otimes f_\alpha), U(y \otimes f_\beta) \rangle = \sum_\eta (\pi^*_{\eta\beta} \pi_{\eta\alpha})(\mu_{x,y}),$$

$$\langle U^*(x \otimes f_\alpha), U^*(y \otimes f_\beta) \rangle = \sum_\eta (\pi_{\beta\eta} \pi^*_{\alpha\eta})(\mu_{x,y}).$$

Proof. With the use of (1), U satisfies for every $x, y \in H$ and every α, β:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U(x \otimes f_\alpha), (y \otimes f_\beta) \rangle &= U(\mu_{x,y}, \omega_{f_\alpha, f_\beta}) = \omega_{f_\alpha, f_\beta}(\pi(\mu_{x,y})) = \pi_{\beta\alpha}(\mu_{x,y}), \\ \langle U^*(x \otimes f_\alpha), (y \otimes f_\beta) \rangle &= \overline{\langle U(y, f_\beta), (x, f_\alpha) \rangle} = \overline{\pi_{\alpha\beta}(\mu_{y,x})}.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Decomposing the scalar product, we get the following absolutely converging series:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U(x \otimes f_\alpha), U(y \otimes f_\beta) \rangle &= \sum_{\xi,\eta} \langle U(x \otimes f_\alpha), e_\xi \otimes f_\eta \rangle \langle e_\xi \otimes f_\eta, U(y \otimes f_\beta) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\xi,\eta} \pi_{\eta\alpha}(\mu_{x,e_\xi}) \overline{\pi_{\eta\beta}(\mu_{y,e_\xi})}.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

After a simple transform:

$$\overline{\pi_{\eta\beta}(\mu_{y,e_\xi})} = \overline{\langle \pi_{\eta\beta}\, y, e_\xi \rangle} = \langle \pi^*_{\eta\beta} e_\xi, y \rangle = \pi^*_{\eta\beta}(\mu_{e_\xi,y}),$$

we get:

$$\langle U(x\otimes f_\alpha), U(y\otimes f_\beta)\rangle = \sum_{\xi,\eta} \pi_{\eta\alpha}(\mu_{x,e_\xi})\pi^*_{\eta\beta}(\mu_{e_\xi,y}).$$

With the lemma 3.3 this gives

$$\langle U(x\otimes f_\alpha), U(y\otimes f_\beta)\rangle = \sum_{\eta} (\pi^*_{\eta\beta}\pi_{\eta\alpha})(\mu_{x,y}).$$

For U^*, we have similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U^*(x\otimes f_\alpha), U^*(y\otimes f_\beta)\rangle &= \sum_{\xi,\eta} \overline{\pi_{\alpha\eta}(\mu_{e_\xi,x})}\pi_{\beta\eta}(\mu_{e_\xi,y}) = \sum_{\xi,\eta} \pi^*_{\alpha\eta}(\mu_{x,e_\xi})\pi_{\beta\eta}(\mu_{e_\xi,y})\\ &= \sum_{\eta} \pi_{\beta\eta}\pi^*_{\alpha\eta}(\mu_{x,y}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.5. *A non-degenerate representation of M_* has a generator if and only if it is standard.*

Proof. Let $M\subset B(H)$, and let $\pi: M_*\to B(K)$ be a *-representation. Choose bases (e_α), (f_β) in the spaces H, K respectively.

Suppose that a unitary generator U exists. Then U is unitary, and we have for every $x,y\in H$ and every α,β, by lemma 3.4:

$$\langle x,y\rangle\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \langle x\otimes f_\alpha, y\otimes f_\beta\rangle = \langle U(x\otimes f_\alpha), U(y\otimes f_\beta)\rangle = \sum_\eta (\pi^*_{\eta\beta}\pi_{\eta\alpha})(\mu_{x,y}).$$

Since $\langle x,y\rangle = \mu_{x,y}(1)$, we get the first equality in (3) for $\mu=\mu_{x,y}$. The series decomposition $\mu=\sum\mu_{x_n,y_n}$ implies that it is valid also for every $\mu\in M_*$.

The adjoint operator U^* is unitary as well, and we get:

$$\langle x,y\rangle\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \langle U^*(x\otimes f_\alpha), U^*(y\otimes f_\beta)\rangle = \sum_\eta \pi_{\beta\eta}\pi^*_{\alpha\eta}(\mu_{x,y})$$

what implies the second equality in (3), so that π is standard.

Conversely, let π be standard. Then we can take (4) as a definition of $U(x\otimes f_\alpha)$, and reversing the calculations above, we see that

$$\langle U(x\otimes f_\alpha), (y\otimes f_\beta)\rangle = \langle x,y\rangle\delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Extending U by linearity to finite linear combinations $x=\sum x_\alpha\otimes f_\beta$, we have:

$$\|Ux\|^2 = \langle Ux,Ux\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\zeta}\langle U(x_\alpha\otimes f_\beta), U(x_\gamma\otimes f_\zeta)\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\zeta}\langle x_\alpha\otimes f_\beta, x_\gamma\otimes f_\zeta\rangle = \|x\|^2.$$

Thus, U is isometric and then extends to an isometry on $H\otimes K$. Further, U has an adjoint operator U^* satisfying (4); again, π being standard implies that U^* is isometric, so U is onto and as a consequence unitary.

Now we must show that U satisfies (2). By definition, we have (2) for $\mu=\mu_{xy}$ and $\omega=\omega_{f_\alpha,f_\beta}$. Since both parts in

$$\langle U(x\otimes x'), (y\otimes y')\rangle = \omega_{x',y'}(\pi(\mu_{x,y}))$$

depend linearly and jointly continuously on $x',y'\in K$, we have this equality for all x',y', i.e. (2) holds for $\mu=\mu_{xy}$ and $\omega=\omega_{x'y'}$. Finally, the decomposition $\mu=\sum\mu_{x_n,y_n}$, $\omega=\sum\omega_{x'_n,y'_n}$ into absolutely converging series implies (2) for all $\mu\in M_*$, $\omega\in N(H)$.

Now it remains to show that $U\in M\bar\otimes B(H)$, not just $U\in B(H\otimes K)$. This follows from the bicommutant theorem; we need to show that U commutes with $(M\bar\otimes B(H))' = M'\bar\otimes\mathbb{C}$

[Kad, 11.2.16]. Let $\varphi \in M'$. Then for every $x, y \in H$ and every $a \in M$ we have $\mu_{\varphi x,y}(a) = \langle a\varphi x, y\rangle = \langle \varphi a x, y\rangle = \langle ax, \varphi^* y\rangle = \mu_{x,\varphi^* y}$, so that $\mu_{\varphi x,y} = \mu_{x,\varphi^* y}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U(\varphi\otimes \mathrm{id})(x\otimes x'), y\otimes y'\rangle &= \langle U(\varphi x\otimes x'), y\otimes y'\rangle = \omega_{x',y'}(\pi(\mu_{\varphi x,y})) = \omega_{x',y'}(\pi(\mu_{x,\varphi^* y}))\\ &= \langle U(x\otimes x'), \varphi^* y\otimes y'\rangle = \langle (\varphi\otimes\mathrm{id})U(x\otimes x'), y\otimes y'\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

so that U commutes with $\varphi\otimes\mathrm{id}$, and the theorem is proved. □

A finite-dimensional version of this theorem was known long ago [ES, Proposition 1.5.7].

Now we see that the property of being standard does not depend on the particular choice of a basis, and by [ES, 1.5.4] a representation quasiequivalent to a standard one is standard too (in particular, every representation is quasi-equivalent to its non-degenerate subrepresentation).

Proposition 3.6. *Let π be a *-representation of M_* on a Hilbert space K. Then π is completely bounded if and only if there exists $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(K) = (M_*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathrm{op}}N(K))^*$ such that $U(\mu,\omega) = \omega(\pi(\mu))$ for every $\mu \in M_*$, $\omega \in N(K)$. In this case $\|U\| = \|\pi\|_{cb}$.*

Proof. There is a natural isometric isomorphism λ [ER, 7.1.5] of the spaces $CB(M_*, B(K))$ and $M\bar{\otimes}B(K)$, given by $\big(\lambda(U)(\mu)\big)(\omega) = U(\mu,\omega)$ for $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(K)$ and $\mu\in M_*$, $\omega \in N(K)$. If $\pi \in CB(M_*, B(K))$, there is $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(K)$ such that $\pi = \lambda(U)$, and vice versa. By definition of λ, this means exactly that $U(\mu,\omega) = \omega(\pi(\mu))$, and in this case $\|\pi\|_{cb} = \|U\|$. □

Corollary 3.7. *Every standard representation π of M_* is completely contractive.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, π has a unitary generator; by Proposition 3.6 $\|\pi\|_{cb} = \|U\| = 1$. □

Definition 3.8. A coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra M is called *standard* if every representation of its predual M_* has a generator. We will also say in this case that M_* is standard. By theorem 3.5, it is equivalent to saying that every representation of M_* is standard.

From [ES, 3.1.4] follows the

Corollary 3.9. *Every Kac algebra is standard.*

4. Representations of the measure algebra

In this section we prove (Theorem 4.4) that if an irreducible representation of $M(G)$ is standard, then it is continuous on G, and vice versa. This justifies the term "standard" — in the case of the measure algebra, this is exactly the class of representations commonly used in harmonic analysis.

The central theorem 4.4 in the abelian case reduces to a known theorem of M. Walter [Wal]: a character (thus, an irreducible representation) of $B(G)$ is standard, in the definition above, if and only if it is an evaluation at a point of G. Recall that if G is abelian, then $B(G) \simeq M(\widehat{G})$. In general, Theorem 4.4 is a dual analogue of the Walter's theorem.

In principle, the results of this section are known [Kir]. But we find it highly instructive to present here new proofs, based on the representation theory. This gives a clear intuitive understanding of the whole picture.

Proposition 4.1. *Let π be an irreducible continuous *-representation of $M(G)$. Then either $\pi|_{L_1(G)} \equiv 0$, or π is generated by a continuous representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of G by the classical integral formula:*

$$\pi(\mu) = \int_G \tilde{\pi}(t)\,d\mu(t), \tag{6}$$

for every $\mu \in M(G)$.

Proof. $L_1(G)$ is an ideal in $M(G)$, so the closed subspace H_1 generated by $\pi(f)H$, $f \in L_1(G)$, is invariant under π. Thus either $H_1 = \{0\}$ or $H_1 = H$. In the first case $\pi|_{L_1(G)} \equiv 0$. In the second case we have a non-degenerate representation of $L_1(G)$, and one shows, as usual, that there is a continuous representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of G such that (6) holds for all $\mu \in L_1(G)$.

It is known that a representation of a *-algebra is uniquely extended from a *-ideal on which it is non-degenerate [Pal, 11.1.12], so (6) holds for all $\mu \in M(G)$ as well. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\bar{\pi}$ be a continuous unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space H, and let π be the corresponding representation of $M(G)$. Then π is standard.*

Proof. Let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a basis of H and let $\pi_{\alpha\beta}(\mu) = \langle \pi(\mu)e_\beta, e_\alpha\rangle$. For every $t \in G$, using the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \langle e_\alpha, e_\beta\rangle = \langle \pi(t)e_\alpha, \pi(t)e_\beta\rangle &= \sum_\gamma \langle \pi(t)e_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle\langle e_\gamma, \pi(t)e_\beta\rangle \qquad (7)\\ &= \sum_\gamma \pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\overline{\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t)} = \sum_\gamma (\pi^*_{\gamma\beta}\pi_{\gamma\alpha})(\delta_t).\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \sum_\gamma |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\overline{\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t)}|. \tag{8}$$

This series converges, since

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\alpha\beta}(t) &= \sum_\gamma |\langle \pi(t)e_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle|\,|\langle e_\gamma, \pi(t)e_\beta\rangle|\\ &\leqslant \Big(\sum_\gamma |\langle \pi(t)e_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_\zeta |\langle e_\zeta, \pi(t)e_\beta\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2}\\ &= \|\pi(t)e_\alpha\|\,\|\pi(t)e_\beta\| = 1.\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = \beta$, then $f_{\alpha\alpha} \equiv 1$. Let us show that $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is a continuous function, whatever are α and β. For $s, t \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}|f_{\alpha\beta}(t) - f_{\alpha\beta}(s)| &= \sum_\gamma \Big(|\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t)| - |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(s)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)|\Big)\\ &= \sum_\gamma \Big(|\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t)| - |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)| + |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)| - |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(s)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)|\Big)\\ &\leqslant \sum_\gamma \Big(|\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)| \cdot |\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t) - \pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)| + |\pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)| \cdot |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t) - \pi_{\gamma\alpha}(s)|\Big)\\ &\leqslant \Big(\sum_\zeta |\pi_{\zeta\alpha}(t)|^2 \sum_\gamma |\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t) - \pi_{\gamma\beta}(s)|^2\Big)^{1/2} + \Big(\sum_\zeta |\pi_{\zeta\beta}(s)|^2 \sum_\gamma |\pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t) - \pi_{\gamma\alpha}(s)|^2\Big)^{1/2}\\ &= \Big(\sum_\zeta |\langle \pi(t)e_\alpha, e_\zeta\rangle|^2 \sum_\gamma |\langle \pi(t)e_\beta, e_\gamma\rangle - \langle \pi(s)e_\beta, e_\gamma\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\quad + \Big(\sum_\zeta |\langle \pi(s)e_\beta, e_\zeta\rangle|^2 \cdot \sum_\gamma |\langle \pi(t)e_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle - \langle \pi(s)e_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2}\\ &= \|\pi(t)e_\alpha\|\|\pi(t)e_\beta - \pi(s)e_\beta\| + \|\pi(s)e_\beta\| \cdot \|\pi(t)e_\alpha - \pi(s)e_\alpha\|\\ &= \|\pi(t)e_\beta - \pi(s)e_\beta\| + \|\pi(t)e_\alpha - \pi(s)e_\alpha\|.\end{aligned}$$

As $s \to t$, this tends to zero since π is continuous in the strong-operator topology (as every continuous unitary representation). Thus, the series (8) of positive continuous functions converges to a continuous function; by the Dini's theorem, it converges uniformly on every compact subset of G.

To prove that π is standard, we need to show that for every bounded measure μ,

$$\delta_{\alpha\beta}\int_G 1 d\mu = \sum_\gamma \int_G \pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\overline{\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t)} d\mu \tag{9}$$

(since for continuous functions, the usual multiplication and conjugation coincide with those in $M(G)^*$). This equality is sufficient to prove in the case of a positive measure μ.

Let $|\mu|$ be the variation of μ. We can assume that $\int_G 1 d\mu = 1$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a compact set $F \subset G$ such that $|\mu|(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ [HR, 14.1].

Denote $\varphi_\gamma(t) = \pi_{\gamma\alpha}(t)\pi_{\gamma\beta}(t)$. For every finite set $A \subset \mathcal{A}$, let $U_A = \{t : \sum_{\gamma\in A} |\varphi_\gamma(t)| > f_{\alpha\beta}(t) - \varepsilon\}$. Then $\{U_A\}$ is an open cover of F, so we can choose a finite subcover $U_{A_1}, \dots, U_{A_n}$. Set $A = \cup A_j$. Then $\sum_{\gamma\in B} |\varphi_\gamma(t)| > f_{\alpha\beta}(t) - \varepsilon$ for every $t \in F$ and every finite set $B \supset A$.

Suppose that $\alpha = \beta$. Then $f_{\alpha\beta} \equiv 1$, and

$$1 = \int 1 d\mu \geqslant \sum_{\gamma\in B} \int_G |\varphi_\gamma(t)| d\mu \geqslant \int_F (1-\varepsilon) d\mu > (1-\varepsilon)^2,$$

what implies (9) (for $\alpha = \beta$).

Let now $\alpha \neq \beta$. Set $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/|\mu|(F)$ and consider another open covering of F: for every finite set B, $A \subset B \subset \mathcal{A}$, let $V_B = \{t : |\sum_{\gamma\in B} \varphi_\gamma(t)| < \varepsilon'$. If $B \subset C$ and $t \in V_B$, then

$$|\sum_{\gamma\in C} \varphi_\gamma(t)| \leqslant |\sum_{\gamma\in B} \varphi_\gamma(t)| + \sum_{\gamma\in C\setminus B} |\varphi_\gamma(t)| < 2\varepsilon'.$$

Choose a finite subcover $V_{B_1}, \dots, V_{B_m}$ of F, and set $B = \cup B_j$. Then for any $C \supset B$ and any $t \in F$, we have $|\sum_{\gamma\in C} \varphi_\gamma(t)| < 2\varepsilon'$. Having in mind that $\sum_\gamma |\varphi_\gamma(t)| \leqslant 1$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\sum_{\gamma\in C} \int_G \varphi_\gamma(t) d\mu| &\leqslant |\int_F \sum_{\gamma\in C} \varphi_\gamma(t) d\mu| + |\int_{G\setminus F} \sum_{\gamma\in C} \varphi_\gamma(t) d\mu| \\ &\leqslant 2\varepsilon'|\mu|(F) + |\mu|(G \setminus F) < 3\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

what implies (9). □

Proposition 4.3. *The annihilator of* $L_1(G)$ *is a two-sided ideal in* $M(G)^*$.

Proof. Denote $M = M(G)^* = C_0(G)^{**}$. This space can be viewed as the enveloping von Neumann algebra of $C_0(G)$. By the corresponding universality property, every representation ρ of $C_0(G)$ is extended to a σ-continuous representation $\bar\rho$ of M, so that $\bar\rho(M)$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\rho(C_0(G))$. Let ρ be action on $L_2(G)$ by pointwise multiplication. Then $\bar\rho(M) = L_\infty(G)$.

From the other side, consider $L_1(G)$ as a closed subspace of $M(G)$, and let $Z = L_1(G)^\perp$ be the annihilator of $L_1(G)$ in M. Then $L_1(G)^* = M/Z$. This space is also isomorphic to $L_\infty(G)$, so we get the quotient map $p : M \to L^\infty(G)$. Then $\bar\rho$, p are both σ-continuous and identical on $C_0(G)$; since $C_0(G)$ is σ-dense in M, it follows that $\bar\rho = p$. Thus, $\ker\bar\rho = \ker p = L_1(G)^\perp$, so this is a two-sided ideal in M. □

Theorem 4.4. *An irreducible representation* π *of* $M(G)$ *is standard if and only if it is generated by a continuous representation* $\tilde\pi$ *of* G *by the integral formula* (6).

Proof. With Theorem 4.2, we need to prove one implication only. Let the restriction of π onto G be discontinuous. Then, by Proposition 4.1, $\pi|_{L_1(G)} \equiv 0$. By Proposition 4.3, then

$(\pi^*_{\gamma\alpha}\pi_{\gamma\beta})|_{L_1(G)} \equiv 0$ for every α, β, γ; thus

$$\sum_\gamma (\pi^*_{\gamma\alpha}\pi_{\gamma\beta})(f) = 0$$

for any $f \in L_1(G)$. This can be different from $f(1) = \int f$, so π is not standard. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *Every representation of $M(G)$ is completely bounded.*

Proof. We always consider $M(G)$ with the operator space structure as the dual of $C_0(G)$, or, what gives the same result, as the predual of $C_0(G)^{**} = VN(C_0(G))$. Since $C_0(G)$ is a commutative C^*-algebra, its natural operator structure is the minimal one. Then on $M(G)$ we have the maximal operator space structure. And in this case, every bounded linear operator on $M(G)$ is completely bounded. $\square$

5. Ideals and standard envelopes

In this section we define the standard C^*-envelope $C^*_{st}(M_*)$ of M_* and prove, among other properties, that $C^*_{st}(M(G)) = C^*(G)$ and $C^*_{st}(B(G)) = C_0(G)$.

Representations of M_* which are not standard we will call non-standard.

Definition 5.1. Let $M^0_* \subset M_*$ be the common kernel of all *irreducible* non-standard representations. If there are none, let $M^0_* = M_*$. This is a two-sided *-ideal in M_*, which is called the *absolutely continuous ideal* of M_*. With the structure inherited from M_*, M^0_* is a Banach *-algebra and an operator space. The usual C^*-envelope $C^*(M^0_*)$ of M^0_* is called the *standard C^*-envelope* of M_* and is denoted by $C^*_{st}(M_*)$. Similarly, the von Neumann envelope of M^0_* will be called the *standard von Neumann envelope* of M_* and is denoted by $W^*_{st}(M_*)$ or by $\widehat{M}$.

Every non-degenerate representation of M^0_* extends uniquely to M_* [Pal, 11.1.12]. It is easy to show that M_* is mapped into the strong closure of the image of M^0_*, i.e. to the von Neumann algebra generated by M^0_*. It follows that there is a canonical map $\Phi : M_* \to \widehat{M}$, extending the canonical map of M^0_* into its envelope. Note that nothing forces Φ to be injective, and usually it is not.

There is an adjoint map $\Phi^* : \widehat{M}^* \to M$. Let $\widehat{\Phi} : \widehat{M}_* \to M$ be the restriction of Φ^* onto $\widehat{M}_* \equiv (\widehat{M})_*$. Sometimes we will write the corresponding algebra M as an index, so that $\Phi_M : M_* \to \widehat{M}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_M : \widehat{M}_* \to M$.

In the case when $M_* = M(G)$, the algebra M^0_* has been studied by J. Taylor under the notation $L^{1/2}(G)$ [Tay]. He has proved that $L^{1/2}(G) \neq L_1(G)$ if G is non-discrete.

Example 5.2. It may happen that $M^0_* = C^*_{st}(M_*) = \{0\}$. This means that non-standard representations separate points of M_*, and this is the reason why we allow trivial coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras.

On $M = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with the usual structure, introduce new involution $\circ$ and coinvolution $\varkappa$ as follows: $\varphi^\circ(t) = \overline{\varphi(-t)}$, $\varkappa(\varphi) = \varphi$. Then M is again a coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra. On its predual, which is $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, we have the usual convolution product. The involution * is in fact the usual one: for $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\int \varphi f^* = \overline{\int (\varkappa\varphi)^* f} = \int \varphi(-t)\overline{f(t)}dt = \int \varphi(t)\overline{f(-t)}dt,$$

so $f^*(t) = \overline{f(-t)}$.

Every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ defines by the usual formula a character χ_s of $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. A character is a standard representation if and only if it is unitary as an element of M. In our example, $\chi^\circ_s = \chi_s$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $\chi^\circ_s\chi_s = \chi_{2s}$. This is equal to $1 = \chi_0$ only if $s = 0$, so every nontrivial character is non-standard. Recall that $\chi_s(f) = F(f)(s)$, where $F(f)$ is the Fourier transform of f. But $F(f)$ is a continuous function for every $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, so if it vanishes for all $s \neq 0$ then $f = 0$. Thus, non-standard characters separate points of $M_* = L_1(G)$. It follows that $M_*^0 = \{0\}$, and consequently $C^*_{st}(M) = \{0\}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be a Banach *-algebra, and let $I \subset A$ be a two-sided *-ideal. Let $\varphi : A \to C^*(A)$ be the canonical map. Then $C^*(I)$ is isomorphic to the closure of $\varphi(I)$ in $C^*(A)$.*

Proof. Let γ_A, γ_I be the maximal C^*-seminorms on A and on I respectively. Clearly $\gamma_A|_I \leqslant \gamma_I$. From the other side, let $\pi : I \to B(H)$ be a representation of I such that $\|\pi\| = \gamma_I$. Then [Pal, 11.1.12] π is extended to a representation $\bar\pi : A \to B(H)$, so that $\|\pi\| \leqslant \|\bar\pi\| \leqslant \gamma_A$. It follows that $\gamma_I = \gamma_A|_I$.

Now let $\varphi : A \to C^*(A)$, $\psi : I \to C^*(I)$ be the canonical maps. Then $\varphi|_I$ is extended to a morphism $\bar\varphi : C^*(I) \to C^*(A)$. This extension is continuous and isometric on $\psi(I)$, so it is isometric on $C^*(I)$ and thus $C^*(I)$ is isomorphic to $\bar\varphi(C^*(I))$.

Let $[\varphi(I)]$ be the closure of $\varphi(I)$ in $C^*(A)$. Clearly $\bar\varphi(C^*(I)) \subset [\varphi(I)]$. From the other side, $\bar\varphi(C^*(I))$ is closed (since it is isomorphic to a complete space) and contains $\varphi(I)$, so $[\varphi(I)] \subset \bar\varphi(C^*(I))$. Thus, $[\varphi(I)] = \bar\varphi(C^*(I))$ and $C^*(I)$ is isomorphic to $[\varphi(I)]$. ☐

Proposition 5.4. *Let A be a Banach *-algebra, and let $I \subset A$ be a two-sided *-ideal. Let $\varphi : A \to W^*(A)$ be the canonical map. Then $W^*(I)$ is isomorphic to the weak closure of $\varphi(I)$ in $W^*(A)$.*

Proof. Denote by $[\varphi(I)]$ this weak closure; it is a von Neumann algebra. Let π be a representation of I. It has a unique extension $\bar\pi$ to A such that $\bar\pi(A)$ is contained in the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(I)$. Next, $\bar\pi$ has a unique normal extension to $W^*(A)$. Its restriction to $[\varphi(I)]$ is a normal extension of π.

To show that this extension is unique, suppose that ρ, σ are two distinct normal representations of $[\varphi(I)]$ both extending π. We can assume that π is non-degenerate, then so are ρ and σ. By [Pal, 11.1.12], there are unique extensions $\bar\rho$, $\bar\sigma$ to $W^*(A)$ (since $[\varphi(I)]$ is an ideal in $W^*(A)$). They are obviously given by $\bar\rho(x) = \rho(px)$ and $\bar\sigma(x) = \sigma(px)$, where $p \in W^*(A)$ is a central projection such that $pW^*(A) = [\varphi(I)]$. Thus, these extensions are normal. Since $\varphi(A)$ is weakly dense in $W^*(A)$, $\bar\rho$ and $\bar\sigma$ have different restrictions to $\varphi(A)$. But it would mean that π has two different extensions to A, what is impossible.

Thus, $[\varphi(I)]$ has the universal property of the von Neumann envelope of I, so it is isomorphic to $W^*(I)$. ☐

Reminder: by a representation we always mean a *-representation. If A is a C^*-algebra, $\widehat{A}$ denotes the space of its irreducible representations.

Proposition 5.5. *Let A be a Banach *-algebra. For a set $X \subset A$, let $h(X)$ be the set of irreducible representations of A which vanish on X. Let B, B' be ideals in A such that $h(B) = h(B')$. Then $C^*(B) \simeq C^*(B')$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : A \to C^*(A)$ be the canonical map, and for $X \subset A$ let $[X]$ denote the closure of $\varphi(X)$ in $C^*(A)$. As we have proved above, $C^*(B) \simeq [B]$ and $C^*(B') \simeq [B']$. It is easy to see that $[B], [B']$ are ideals in $C^*(A)$.

For $Y \subset \widehat{C^*(A)}$, let $k(Y) = \cap\{\ker\pi : \pi \in Y\}$. In $C^*(A)$, as in every C^*-algebra, $I = k(h(I))$ for every closed ideal I [Dix, 2.9.7]. From assumptions it follows that $h([B]) = h([B'])$.

Thus, $C^*(B) \simeq [B] = k(h([B])) = k(h([B'])) = [B'] = C^*(B')$. ☐

Recall that the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ is the dual of $C^*(G)$ and the predual of the Ernest algebra $W^*(G)$.

Proposition 5.6. *$C^*_{st}(M(G)) = C^*_{st}(L_1(G)) = C^*(G)$ and $C^*_{st}(B(G)) = C^*_{st}(A(G)) = C_0(G)$.*

Proof. For $M_* = M(G)$, let $I = M(G)^0$ be the absolutely continuous ideal. By the results of Section 4, $h(I) = h(L_1(G))$. Then, by Proposition 5.5, $C^*(I) = C^*(L_1(G)) = C^*(G)$. If $M_* = L_1(G)$, then $M^0_* = M_*$ and $C^*_{st}(L_1(G)) = C^*(L_1(G)) = C^*(G)$.

In the second case, the algebra $M_* = B(G)$ is commutative, so its irreducible representations are characters. A character is standard if and only if it is unitary in $M = W^*(G)$. It was proved by M. Walter [Wal] that a character of $B(G)$ is unitary if and only if it is evaluation at a point $t \in G$, and if and only if it vanishes on $A(G)$. Thus, $h(B(G)^0) = h(A(G))$ and we can again apply Proposition 5.5, to conclude that $C^*_{st}(B(G)) = C^*(A(G))$. It is an easy exercise to deduce that $C^*(A(G)) = C_0(G)$.

□

This proposition already establishes a duality in the group case:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_0(G) \arrow[r, mapsto, "*"] & M(G) \arrow[d, mapsto, "C^*_{st}-\text{env}"] \\
B(G) \arrow[u, mapsto, "C^*_{st}-\text{env}"] & C^*(G) \arrow[l, mapsto, "*"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

In the next section, we develop this to a general framework of Hopf-von Neumann algebras.

6. THE DUAL HOPF-VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA

In this section we show that on $\widehat{M} = W^*(M^0_*)$, i.e. on the enveloping von Neumann algebra of M^0_*, there is a canonical structure of a coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra.

Proposition 6.1. *The canonical map $i : M^0_* \to \widehat{M}$ is extended to a completely contractive *-homomorphism $\Phi : M_* \to \widehat{M}$.*

Proof. Let π be the direct sum of all irreducible representations of M_* which are nonzero on M^0_*. They are in bijection with the irreducible representations of M^0_*. Let us consider π as a map to the C^*-algebra A generated by $\pi(M_*)$. By the theory above and [ES], π has a generator, so it is completely contractive.

Now $C^*(M_0)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the closure of $\pi(M^0_*)$ in A (denote this closure by I) and to the norm closure of $\Phi(M^0_*)$ in $\widehat{M}$. Let $\rho : I \to \widehat{M}$ be the latter isomorphism. Clearly I is an ideal in A, so ρ is extended to a representation $\bar{\rho}$ of A having range in $\widehat{M}$. As every homomorphism of C^*-algebras, $\bar{\rho}$ is completely contractive. Now $\Phi = \bar{\rho} \circ \pi$, so Φ is also completely contractive. □

When considered as a representation of M_*, Φ gives rise to the Kronecker product $\Phi \times \Phi : M_* \to \widehat{M} \bar{\otimes} \widehat{M}$ [ES, 1.4.3]. Considered as a representation of M^0_*, $(\Phi \times \Phi)|_{M^0_*}$ can be extended to a representation Δ of $\widehat{M} = W^*(M^0_*)$ so that $\widehat{\Delta}(\widehat{M}) \subset (\Phi \times \Phi)(M^0_*)'' \subset \widehat{M} \bar{\otimes} \widehat{M}$. Universality of $\widehat{M}$ allows to prove, exactly as in [ES, 1.6.4 and 1.6.5], that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \widehat{\Delta})\widehat{\Delta} = (\widehat{\Delta} \otimes \mathrm{id})\widehat{\Delta}$; in the proof one needs to replace only M_* by M^0_*.

In [ES, 1.5.5], it is proved that if π is a representation with generator, then $\pi \times \pi$ also has a generator. Looking carefully at the proof, one can notice that it is sufficient that π is completely bounded. In this case it is also given by an operator $U \in M \bar{\otimes} B(H)$, but we do not know if U is unitary. However, to show that $\pi \times \pi$ is completely bounded, it is not necessary to use the unitarity of U. Thus, we can conclude that $\Phi \times \Phi$ is completely bounded.

To conclude that $\widehat{\Delta}$ is a comultiplication on $\widehat{M}$, one needs also that $\widehat{\Delta}(1) = 1$. For this in turn, it suffices to show that the restriction of $\Phi \times \Phi$ onto M^0_* is nondegenerate. This follows from the proposition below (note that we do not know in general whether the dual of M_*^0 is a von Neumann algebra):

Proposition 6.2. *Every completely bounded representation of M_* which is nondegenerate on M_*^0 has a generator.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, there exists $U \in M\bar{\otimes}B(H)$ such that $U(\mu,\omega) = \omega(\pi(\mu))$, and all we need is to prove that U is unitary. It is known that every representation is a direct sum of cyclic ones, and that the direct sum of representations with generator has also a generator. Thus, we can assume that π is cyclic.

Denote $A = C^*(M_*^0)$, and let the continuous extension of π to A be also denoted by π. By [Tel], π can be decomposed as a direct integral of irreducible representations of A. Namely, there exist: a set P; a σ-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of subsets of P; a complete positive finite measure β on $(P,\mathfrak{A})$ with $\beta(P) = 1$; an integrable field $\{(H_p)_{p\in P}, \Gamma\}$ of Hilbert spaces (in the sense of W. Wils [Wil]); a field of representations $\pi_p : A \to B(H_p)$, $p \in P$, where every π_p is either irreducible or zero; an isometric isomorphism $V : H \to \Gamma = \int_P^{\oplus} H_p d\beta(p)$, such that: if $x \in H$ and $V(x) = \int_P \xi_p \, d\beta(p)$, then for every $a \in A$ we have $V(\pi(a)x) = \int_P \pi_p(a)\xi_p \, d\beta(p)$, and

$$\|\pi(a)x\|^2 = \int_P \|\pi_p(a)\xi_p\|_p^2 \, d\beta(p).$$

For every p, let $\tilde{\pi}_p$ be zero if $\pi_p = 0$ and let it be the unique extention (from M_*^0) to an irreducible representation $\tilde{\pi}_p$ of M_*, if $\pi_p \neq 0$. By definition, if $\tilde{\pi}_p \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\pi}_p$ is standard, so it has a generator $U_p \in M\bar{\otimes}B(H_p)$. For other p, set $U_p = 0$.

Let M be realized on a Hilbert space K. Then $(K \otimes H_p)_{p\in P}$ is also field of Hilbert spaces, isomorphic to $K \otimes H$ under the isomorphism $\tilde{V} = \mathrm{id} \otimes V$. Clearly $\tilde{V}(K \otimes H)$ is the closure of the linear span of $K \otimes V(H)$.

Our aim is to show that U is a decomposable operator and $U\xi = \int_P U_p(\tilde{V}\xi)$ for every $\xi \in K \otimes H$.

Fix $x \in K$, $\xi \in H$ and bases $(e_\alpha) \subset K$, $(f_\beta) \subset H$. Then

$$\langle U(x \otimes \xi), e_\alpha \otimes f_\beta \rangle = \langle \pi(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi, f_\beta \rangle,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} U(x \otimes \xi) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle U(x \otimes \xi), e_\alpha \otimes f_\beta \rangle \, e_\alpha \otimes f_\beta = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \pi(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi, f_\beta \rangle \, e_a \otimes f_\beta \\ &= \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes \Big(\sum_\beta \langle \pi(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi, f_\beta \rangle \; f_\beta \Big) = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes \pi(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

(convergence is everywhere in the Hilbert space norm). In particular, $\pi(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi = 0$ for all but countably many α. Similarly, for every p such that $\pi_p \neq 0$,

$$U_p(x \otimes \xi_p) = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes \pi_p(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi_p.$$

To relate U to U_p, we have to show first that every $\pi(\mu)$, $\mu \in M_*$, is decomposable (and equal to $\int \tilde{\pi}_p(\mu)$). We do not obtain this automatically, as opposed to the separable case. It is true that $\pi(M_*) \subset \pi(M_*^0)''$; but it is in general unknown whether every operator $T \in \pi(M_*^0)''$ is decomposable.

First, if $\xi = \pi(\nu)\zeta$ where $\nu \in M_*^0$ then $\mu\nu \in M_*^0$, so:

$$V(\pi(\mu)\xi) = V(\pi(\mu\nu)\zeta) = \int_P \pi_p(\mu\nu)\zeta_p = \int_P \tilde{\pi}_p(\mu)\pi_p(\nu)\zeta_p = \int_P \tilde{\pi}_p(\mu)\xi_p.$$

This implies that $\pi(\mu) = V^{-1} \int \tilde\pi_p(\mu) V$ on a dense subset of H (recall that π is non-degenerate on M_*^0). Since both parts are bounded operators on H, we have this equality everywhere. In particular, $\int \tilde\pi_p(\mu)$ is a measurable field of operators, in the sense that it maps Γ to Γ.

Now, by definition (and continuity) of $\tilde V$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde V(U(x\otimes\xi)) &= \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes V(\pi(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi) = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes \int \big(\pi_p(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi_p\big)\\ &= \int \Big(\sum_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes \pi_p(\mu_{xe_\alpha})\,\xi_p\Big) = \int \big(U_p(x\otimes\xi_p)\big).\end{aligned}$$

By continuity, it follows that the operator field U_p is measurable and U is decomposed as $\int U_p$.

In general, the adjoint of a decomposable operator may not be decomposable, but for U^* this fact is checked in the same way.

Now let P_0 be the set of $p\in P$ such that $\pi_p = 0$, and let T be the projection of Γ onto the closure of $\Gamma_0 = \{\xi\in\Gamma : \xi_p = 0, \forall p\in P_0\}$. Then $\tilde V(U^*Uu) = \int_P (U_p^* U_p \tilde V u) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes T)(\tilde V u)$.

Note that $V\pi(\mu)V^{-1}\Gamma \subset T\Gamma$ for every $\mu\in M_*^0$, and from the other side, π is non-degenerate on M_*^0. It follows that $T\Gamma = \Gamma$, so $U^*U = \mathrm{id}$ and similarly $UU^* = \mathrm{id}$, that is, U is unitary. ☐

Corollary 6.3. *Let M be a coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra. Every representation of M_* is standard if and only if every irreducible representation of M_* is standard.*

The coinvolution on $\widehat{M}$ is given by the composition with $\varkappa$ (cf. [ES, § 1.6]):

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\tilde\varkappa : M_* \to M_*$ be defined by $\tilde\varkappa(\mu) = \mu\circ\varkappa$ for $\mu\in M_*$. Then $\tilde\varkappa$ is a *-antihomomorphism, maps M_*^0 to itself, and is uniquely extended from M_*^0 to a normal *-antihomomorphism $\widehat\varkappa$ of $\widehat{M}$, which is a coinvolution on $\widehat{M}$.*

Proof. Check first that $\tilde\varkappa$ preserves involution. For every $\mu\in M_*$, $x\in M$

$$\tilde\varkappa(\mu^*)(x) = \mu^*(\varkappa x) = \overline{\mu(x^*)} = \overline{\mu\big(\varkappa(\varkappa x^*)\big)} = \overline{\tilde\varkappa\mu(\varkappa x^*)} = (\tilde\varkappa\mu)^*(x).$$

If θ is the flip map on $M\bar\otimes M$, then for every $\mu,\nu\in M_*$, $x\in M$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\varkappa(\mu\nu)(x) &= (\mu\nu)(\varkappa x) = (\mu\otimes\nu)(\Delta(\varkappa x)) = (\mu\otimes\nu)(\theta(\varkappa\otimes\varkappa)\Delta x)\\ &= \big((\nu\circ\varkappa)\otimes(\mu\circ\varkappa)\big)(\Delta x) = \big(\tilde\varkappa(\nu)\tilde\varkappa(\mu)\big)(x).\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\tilde\varkappa$ is a *-antimohomorphism. Clearly $\tilde\varkappa^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

To prove that M_*^0 is invariant under $\tilde\varkappa$, take a non-standard irreducible representation π of M_*. For every α,β let $\tau_{\alpha\beta} = \varkappa\pi_{\beta\alpha}$. Then these are coefficients of a representation of M_*, since [ES, 1.4.2]:

$$\varkappa(\tau_{\alpha\beta}^*) = \varkappa(\varkappa\pi_{\beta\alpha}^*) = \varkappa(\pi_{\alpha\beta}) = \tau_{\beta\alpha},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\tau_{\alpha\beta}) = \Delta(\varkappa\pi_{\beta\alpha}) &= \theta(\varkappa\otimes\varkappa)\Delta(\pi_{\beta\alpha}) = \theta(\varkappa\otimes\varkappa)\Big(\sum_\gamma \pi_{\beta\gamma}\otimes\pi_{\gamma\alpha}\Big)\\ &= \sum_\gamma \varkappa(\pi_{\gamma\alpha})\otimes\varkappa(\pi_{\beta\gamma}) = \sum_\gamma \tau_{\alpha\gamma}\otimes\tau_{\gamma\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

If π acts on a Hilbert space H and $J : H\to\bar H$ is the isomorphism onto the conjugate space $\bar H$, then we can view τ as acting on $\bar H$ with $\tau(\mu) = J\pi(\tilde\varkappa\mu^*)J^{-1}$. Since $\tilde\varkappa(M_*) = M_*$, this implies that τ is irreducible.

If τ were standard, then by (3) we would have, since $\varkappa$ is ultraweakly continuous:

$$\sum_\gamma \pi_{\gamma\alpha}^*\pi_{\gamma\beta} = \sum_\gamma \varkappa(\tau_{\alpha\gamma})^*\varkappa(\tau_{\beta\gamma}) = \sum_\gamma \varkappa(\tau_{\beta\gamma}\tau_{\alpha\gamma}^*) = \varkappa\Big(\sum_\gamma \tau_{\beta\gamma}\tau_{\alpha\gamma}^*\Big) = \varkappa(\delta_{\alpha\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

and similarly $\sum \pi_{\alpha\gamma}\pi^*_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, π would be also a standard representation, what is not true by assumption. This implies that τ is non-standard.

Now $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(\mu) = 0$ for all $\mu \in M^0_*$, so $\pi_{\beta\alpha}(\tilde{\varkappa}\mu) = 0$ and $\pi(\tilde{\varkappa}(M^0_*)) = 0$. Since π was arbitrary, this implies that M^0_* is invariant under $\tilde{\varkappa}$.

By universality, $\tilde{\varkappa}$ is extended to a *-antihomomorphism $\widehat{\varkappa}$ of $\widehat{M}$. Similraly to [ES, § 1.6.6], one proves that $\theta(\widehat{\varkappa} \otimes \widehat{\varkappa})\widehat{\Delta} = \widehat{\Delta}\widehat{\varkappa}$. ☐

Definition 6.5. The algebra $\widehat{M}$ with the comultiplication and coinvolution introduced above is called the *dual coinvolutive Hopf-von Neumann algebra* of M.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a morphism of coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras. There is a dual morphism $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{N} \to \widehat{M}$ such that $\widehat{\varphi} \circ \Phi_N = \Phi_M \circ \varphi_*$ on N^0_*.*

Proof. By definition, φ is ultraweakly continuous, so it has a pre-adjoint $\varphi_* : N_* \to M_*$. Since φ is a coalgebra morphism, φ_* is a *-homomorphism. Consider $\psi = \Phi_M \circ \varphi_* : N_* \to \widehat{M}$. This is a *-homomorphism, so it is extended to a normal *-homomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{N} \to \widehat{M}$, such that $\widehat{\varphi}\Phi_N = \psi$.

It remains to prove that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a coalgebra morphism: $\Delta_{\widehat{M}}\widehat{\varphi} = (\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \widehat{\varphi})\Delta_{\widehat{N}}$. Since $\Delta_{\widehat{M}}$ is ultraweakly continuous, this equality is enough to check on $\Phi_N(N_*)$. Moreover, an equality in $\widehat{M}\bar{\otimes}\widehat{M} \supset \widehat{\Delta}(\widehat{M})$ is enough to check by the values on $x \otimes y$, with $x, y \in \widehat{M}_*$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\widehat{M}}\widehat{\varphi}(\Phi_N(\nu))(x \otimes y) &= \widehat{\varphi}(\Phi_N(\nu))(xy) = \Phi_M(\varphi_*(\nu))(xy) = \varphi_*(\nu)(\widehat{\Phi}_M(x)\widehat{\Phi}_M(y)) \\ &= \nu\Big(\varphi(\widehat{\Phi}_M(x))\,\varphi(\widehat{\Phi}_M(y))\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By definition, $\Delta_{\widehat{N}}\Phi_N = \Phi_N \times \Phi_N$, so from the other side:

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\varphi} \otimes \widehat{\varphi})\Delta_{\widehat{N}}(\Phi_N(\nu))(x \otimes y) &= (\Phi_N \times \Phi_N)(\nu)(\widehat{\varphi}_*x \otimes \widehat{\varphi}_*y) = \nu\big((\Phi_N)_*(\widehat{\varphi}_*x) \cdot (\Phi_N)_*(\widehat{\varphi}_*y)\big) \\ &= \nu\big(\varphi((\Phi_M)_*(x)) \cdot \varphi((\Phi_M)_*(y))\big).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\Phi_M)_* : \widehat{M}_* \to M$ is in fact $\widehat{\Phi}_M$, so we arrive at an equality with (12).

Also, $\varkappa_{\widehat{M}}\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}\varkappa_{\widehat{N}}$ should hold. Again, it is sufficient to consider $\xi = \Phi_N(\nu)$, $\nu \in N_*$. Then, with the definition of $\widehat{\varkappa}$ from Proposition 6.4:

$$\varkappa_{\widehat{M}}\widehat{\varphi}(\Phi_N(\nu)) = \varkappa_{\widehat{M}}\Phi_M(\varphi_*(\nu)) = \Phi_M(\tilde{\varkappa}_M(\varphi_*(\nu))).$$

For every $x \in \widehat{M}_*$ we have further

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_M(\tilde{\varkappa}_M(\varphi_*(\nu)))(x) &= \tilde{\varkappa}_M(\varphi_*(\nu))(\widehat{\Phi}_M(x)) = \nu\big(\varphi(\varkappa_M\widehat{\Phi}_M(x))\big) = \nu\big(\varkappa_N(\varphi(\widehat{\Phi}_M(x)))\big) \\ &= \tilde{\varkappa}_N(\nu)\big((\Phi_N)_*(\widehat{\varphi}_*(x))\big) = \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\varkappa}_N(\Phi_N(\nu))(x),\end{aligned}$$

as required. ☐

If we have two morphisms $\varphi : M \to N$ and $\psi : N \to L$, then on L^0_* we have:

$$\widehat{\psi \circ \varphi} \circ \Phi_L = \Phi_M \circ (\psi \circ \varphi)_* = \Phi_M \circ \varphi_* \circ \psi_*,$$

$$\widehat{\varphi} \circ \widehat{\psi} \circ \Phi_L = \widehat{\varphi} \circ \Phi_N \circ \psi_* = \Phi_M \circ \varphi_* \circ \psi_*,$$

so $\widehat{\psi \circ \varphi} = \widehat{\varphi} \circ \widehat{\psi}$.

Corollary 6.7. *The map $M \mapsto \widehat{M}$ is a contravariant functor on the category of coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras.*

Collecting all together, we get the following

Theorem 6.8. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the full subcategory of the category $\mathcal{H}_0$ coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras, which has as its objects all $M \in \mathcal{H}_0$ such that $M \simeq \widehat{\widehat{M}}$. Then $\widehat{\ }: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a contravariant duality functor, i.e. $\widehat{\widehat{M}} \simeq M$ for every $M \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, there is a faithful contravariant functor $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{LCG} \to \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{LCG}$ is the category of locally compact groups, such that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}(G)} = \mathcal{A}(\widehat{G})$ for every abelian group $G \in \mathcal{LCG}$.*

Proof. The subcategory $\mathcal{H}$ is full by Proposition 6.6, and $\widehat{\ }$ is by definition a duality functor.

For every $G \in \mathcal{LCG}$, set $\mathcal{A}(G) = C_0(G)^{**}$. It is known that this is a faithful contravariant functor from $\mathcal{LCG}$ to $\mathcal{H}_0$ (or it follows from [ES, 5.1.4] and Corollary 6.7). And it is proved in Section 5 that $C_0(G)^{**}$ is reflexive, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(G) \in \mathcal{H}$. □

7. Relation to the second dual

It is clear that not every coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebra is reflexive. For instance, this is shown by the example 5.2 of a nontrivial algebra with $\widehat{M} = 0$. In the Section **??** we give more examples. In the present section we establish some relations between M and $\widehat{\widehat{M}}$ in the general case.

Proposition 7.1. *For every M, there is a morphism $D_M : \widehat{\widehat{M}} \to M$ such that $D_M \circ \Phi_{\widehat{M}}(x) = \widehat{\Phi}_M(x)$ for all $x \in \widehat{M}_*$.*

Proof. Denote $N = \widehat{M}$. We know that there is a canonical map $\widehat{\Phi}_M : N_* \to M$. In particular, $\widehat{\Phi}_M|_{N_*^0}$ is a *-homomorphism, so it is extended to a normal homomorphism of its von Neumann envelope: $D_M : \widehat{N} \to M$. By definition, D_M satisfies the equality in the statement for $x \in M_*^0$. Since an extension from an ideal with the condition $D_M(M_*) \subset D_M(M_*^0)''$ is unique, this equality holds on M_* also.

To prove that D_M is a morphism of coinvolutive Hopf–von Neumann algebras, we should check the equality

$$\Delta_M\, D_M(x)(\mu \otimes \nu) = (D_M \otimes D_M)\Delta_{\widehat{N}}(x)(\mu \otimes \nu)$$

for every $x \in \widehat{\widehat{M}}$ and $\mu, \nu \in M_*$. By density, it is sufficient to consider $x = \Phi_{\widehat{M}}(y)$ with $y \in \widehat{M}_*$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_M\, D_M \Phi_{\widehat{M}}(y)(\mu \otimes \nu) &= \Delta_M\, \widehat{\Phi}_M(y)(\mu \otimes \nu) = \widehat{\Phi}_M(y)(\mu\nu) = y(\Phi_M(\mu\nu))\\ &= y(\Phi_M(\mu)\Phi_M(\nu)) = \Delta_{\widehat{N}}(x)(\mu \otimes \nu)\\ &= (D_M \otimes D_M)\Delta_{\widehat{N}}(x)(\mu \otimes \nu)\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\varkappa_M D_M = D_M \varkappa_{\widehat{N}}$ should hold. Using the definition of the dual coinvolution in Proposition 6.4 (and its notations, so that $\tilde{\varkappa}_M(\mu) = \mu \circ \varkappa_M$), we have for every $x \in \widehat{M}_*$, $\mu \in M_*$:

$$\begin{aligned}\varkappa_M D_M \Phi_{\widehat{M}}(x)(\mu) &= \varkappa_M \widehat{\Phi}_M(x)(\mu) = \widehat{\Phi}_M(x)(\tilde{\varkappa}_M(\mu)) = x(\Phi_M(\tilde{\varkappa}_M(\mu))) =\\ &= x(\varkappa_{\widehat{M}}(\Phi_M(\mu)) = \tilde{\varkappa}_{\widehat{M}}(x)(\Phi_M(\mu)) = \widehat{\Phi}_M(\tilde{\varkappa}_{\widehat{M}}(x))(\mu) =\\ &= D_M \Phi_{\widehat{M}} \tilde{\varkappa}_{\widehat{M}}(x)(\mu) = D_M \varkappa_{\widehat{M}} \Phi_{\widehat{M}}(x)(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\varkappa_M D_M = D_M \varkappa_{\widehat{N}}$ on the range of $\Phi_{\widehat{M}}$, and by density on the whole of $\widehat{\widehat{M}}$. □

One should note that D_M need not be neither injective nor surjective. As a first example, take the algebra M from the example 5.2. Then $\widehat{\widehat{M}} = 0$, so $D_M = 0$. For a second example, take $M = L_\infty(G)$. Then $\widehat{\widehat{M}} = C_0(G)^{**}$, and D_M is a quotient map but not injective.

However, if M is a dual of some other algebra, then D_M is right invertible:

Proposition 7.2. *If $N = \widehat{M}$ for some M, then there is a morphism $E_N : N \to \hat{\hat{N}}$, such that $D_N \circ E_N = \mathrm{id}_N$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, there is a morphism $D_M : \widehat{\widehat{M}} \to M$ such that $D_M \circ \Phi_{\widehat{M}}(x) = \widehat{\Phi}_M(x)$ for all $x \in \widehat{M}^0_*$. Set $E_N = \widehat{D}_M : \widehat{M} = N \to (\widehat{\widehat{M}})\hat{} = \hat{\hat{N}}$.

We have $D_M \circ \Phi_N = \widehat{\Phi}_M$, so $\widehat{\Phi}^*_M = \Phi^*_N \circ D^*_M$. Since $\mu(\widehat{\Phi}_M x) = \Phi_M(\mu)(x)$ for all $x \in \widehat{M}_*$, $\mu \in M_*$, we have $\Phi^*_M = \widehat{\Phi}_M$ on $\widehat{M}_*$ and $\widehat{\Phi}^*_M = \Phi_M$ on M_*. This implies that $\Phi_M = \widehat{\Phi}_N \circ (D_M)_*$.

By Proposition 6.6, we have $E_N \circ \Phi_M = \Phi_{\widehat{N}} \circ (D_M)_*$ on M_*. Then:

$$D_N \circ (E_N \circ \Phi_M) = (D_N \circ \Phi_{\widehat{N}}) \circ (D_M)_* = \widehat{\Phi}_N \circ (D_M)_* = \Phi_M.$$

Thus, $D_N \circ E_N = \mathrm{id}_N$ on the image of Φ_M. Since this latter is ultraweakly dense in N, the proposition follows. □

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose that the canonical map $F : M_* \to W^*(M_*)$ is injective. Let $A \subset M_*$ be an ideal such that:*

*(i) A is the kernel of a *-representation of M_*;*

(ii) $W^*(A)$ (which can be identified with the ultraweak closure of $F(A)$ in $W^*(M_*)$) is a sub-coalgebra in $W^*(M_*)$.

Then $A^\perp$ is a two-sided ideal in M.

Proof. Denote $I = W^*(A)$ and $W = W^*(M_*)$. Since A is an ideal in M_*, I is a (weakly closed) ideal in W. It has then the form $I = pW$ for a central projection $p \in W$. Set $J = (1-p)W$. Then J is also a weakly closed ideal, and $W = I \oplus J$. The predual $B = W_*$ splits then also into a direct sum $B = J^\perp \oplus I^\perp$. The same sum can be also represented as $B = p \cdot B \oplus (1-p) \cdot B$ with the natural action $(x \cdot \beta)(y) = \beta(xy)$, where $x, y \in W$, $\beta \in B$.

It is known that B is a Banach algebra due to existence of a comultiplication on W. We claim that $I^\perp$ is an ideal in B. Indeed, $W \bar{\otimes} W = I \bar{\otimes} I \oplus I \bar{\otimes} J \oplus J \bar{\otimes} I \oplus J \bar{\otimes} J$. If $\alpha \in B$, $\beta \in I^\perp$ then $I \bar{\otimes} I \oplus J \bar{\otimes} I \subset \ker(\alpha \otimes \beta)$. For every $x \in I$ by assumption $\Delta(x) \in I \bar{\otimes} I$, so $(\alpha\beta)(x) = (\alpha \otimes \beta)(\Delta x) = 0$, what means that $\alpha\beta \in I^\perp$. Similarly one gets $\beta\alpha \in I^\perp$.

Consider now the map $F_* : B \to M$, $F_*(x)(\mu) = x(F\mu)$. Since F is injective, $F_*(B)$ separates points and so is weakly dense in M. Obviously $F_*(I^\perp) \subset A^\perp$. Moreover, $F_*(I^\perp)$ is weakly dense in $A^\perp$. This can be shown as follows: suppose the contrary, then there is $\mu \in M_*$ such that $\mu(F_*(I^\perp)) = 0$ but $\mu(A^\perp) \neq 0$. This means that $\mu \notin (A^\perp)^\perp$ but $F(\mu) \in I$.

From assumption, it follows that A is M-weakly closed in M_* (it is the kernel of a *-representations, so the common kernel of a family of linear functionals). Thus, $(A^\perp)^\perp = A$ and we have $\mu \notin A$.

Let now $\pi : M_* \to B(H)$ be a *-representation such that $A = \ker \pi$. Then $\pi(\mu) \neq 0$ since $\mu \notin A$. By the universality property, there is a normal representation $\bar{\pi}$ of W such that $\bar{\pi} \circ F = \pi$. Then $\bar{\pi}(F(A)) = 0$ and as a consequence $\bar{\pi}(I) = 0$ since I is the weak closure of $F(A)$. We have $F(\mu) \in I$, so $\bar{\pi}(F(\mu)) = \pi(\mu) = 0$. This contradiction proves that $F_*(I^\perp)$ is weakly dense in $A^\perp$.

Now let $\{\}$ denote the weak closure in M. Since the multiplication is weakly separately continuous, for $x = F_*(y)$ with $y \in I^\perp$ we get: $xM = x\{F_*(B)\} = \{F_*(yB)\} \subset \{F_*(I^\perp)\} \subset A^\perp$. Further, for $x \in M$ we get: $A^\perp x = \{F_*(I^\perp)\}x = \{F_*(I^\perp)x\} \subset \{A^\perp\} = A^\perp$. For multiplication from the lest, the proof is the same. □

Proposition 7.4. *If Φ_M is injective then $(M^0_*)^\perp$ is a two-sided ideal in M.*

Proof. The preceding proposition applies, since M_*^0 is the kernel of the direct sum of all (non-equivalent) irreducible non-standard *-representations of M_*, and $W^*(M_*^0)$ is a coalgebra. ☐

Corollary 7.5. *Suppose that Φ_M is injective. This is the case, in particular, if M is a Kac algebra. Then every representation which annihilates M_*^0 is non-standard.*

Proof. By the preceding proposition, $I = (M_*^0)^\perp$ is an ideal in M. By assumption $\Phi_M : M_* \to W^*(M_*^0)$ is injective; in particular, $M_*^0 \neq \{0\}$. This implies that I is a proper ideal. If now π is a representation of M_* and $\pi(M_*^0) = 0$ then the coefficients of π are contained in I, so the ideal generated by the coefficients of π is proper and so π is non-standard. ☐

In this case our dual algebra $\widehat{M}$ is equal to the "unitary dual" $W^*U(M_*)$ of E. Kirchberg. In general, they may differ. In the example 5.2 $\widehat{M} = \{0\}$ while $W^*U(M_*) = \mathbb{C}$. The latter equality is obvious if we note that the integration over $\mathbb{R}$ is the only standard representation of M_*.

From the results of [Kir], it now follows that $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{H}$ for every Kac algebra M:

Theorem 7.6 (Kirchberg)**.** *If M is a Kac algebra, then $\widehat{\widehat{\widehat{M}}} \simeq \widehat{M}$.*

8. More examples

The duals of Kac algebras are described as follows:

Proposition 8.1. *If M is a Kac algebra, then $\widehat{M}$ is equal to $W^*(M)$, the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of M (see definition in* [ES, § 1.6]*).*

Proof. It is known that every representation of M_* has a generator [ES], so $M_*^0 = M_*$. By definition, $W^*(M)$ is the von Neumann envelope of M_*. ☐

If M is a finite-dimensional Kac algebra, then $W^*(M) = \widehat{M}$ is also equal to the Kac dual of M (and is also finite-dimensional). Thus, $\widehat{\widehat{M}} = M$, as in the Kac theory.

If M is infinite-dimensional, then its second dual is usually not equal to M. But, by Theorem 7.6, the first dual equals to the third dual, and so the second dual is a reflexive algebra in the sense of our duality. This allows to consider $\widehat{\widehat{M}}$ as the *full canonical form* of M.

The table below summarizes results on the duals of classical algebras, given by Propositions 5.6 and 8.1.

Algebra	Dual
$L_\infty(G)$ $C_0(G)^{**}$	$W^*(G)$
$\mathcal{L}(G)$ $W^*(G)$	$C_0(G)^{**}$

And lastly, we give a description of commutative reflexive algebras. These are nothing but the algebras $C_0(G)^{**}$, where G is a locally compact group.

Theorem 8.2. *Let M be commutative and $M \simeq \widehat{N}$ for some N. Then there is a locally compact group G such that $M \simeq C_0(G)^{**}$.*

Proof. By construction, $M = A^{**}$ for the C^*-algebra $A = C^*(N_*^0)$, which is obviously commutative. Let G be the spectrum of A, so that $A \simeq C_0(G)$. This is a locally compact space in the topology $\mathcal{T}_A$ induced by the Gelfand transform of A. Since N_*^0 is dense in A, the same topology is generated by the Gelfand transform of N_*^0.

Moreover, any subalgebra of $C(G)$ containing $C_0(G)$ generates the same topology $\mathcal{T}_A$ on G. Thus, the topology generated by N_* is not stronger than $\mathcal{T}_A$, and with considerations above, it is equal to $\mathcal{T}_A$.

By definition (as the set of unitary characters of N_*), G is a subset of the unitary group of N, moreover, it is a subgroup. So G has a natural group structure. The group operations are continuous in the topology $\mathcal{T}_N$ induced by the ultraweak topology of N. But it is the N_*-weak topology, and as we have shown above, it equals $\mathcal{T}_A$. Thus, the group operations are continuous on G in $\mathcal{T}_A$.

Now, since $A \simeq C_0(G)$, we get $M = W^*(A) \simeq C_0(G)^{**}$. □

Corollary 8.3. *If $M \simeq \widehat{\widehat{M}}$ and M is commutative, then $M \simeq C_0(G)^{**}$ for a locally compact group G.*

References

[BLM] Blecher D. P., Le Merdy C. *Operator algebras and their modules: an operator space approach.* Oxford University Press, 2004.

[Dix] Dixmier J. *C^*-algebras.* North Holland, 1977.

[ER] Effros, Ruan. Operator spaces.

[ES] Enock M., Schwartz J.-M. *Kac algebras and duality of locally compact groups.* Springer, 1992.

[QS] Hajac P. M. (Ed.) *Quantum symmetry in noncommutative geometry.* Springer, 2009.

[HR] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis I, II.* Springer, 3rd printing, 1997.

[Kad] Kadison R. V., Ringrose J. R. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. I, II.* Academic Press, 1986.

[Kan] E. Kaniuth. *A course in commutative Banach algebras.* Springer, 2009.

[Kir] E. Kirchberg. *Darstellungen coinvolutiver Hopf-W^*-Algebren und ihre Anwendung in der nicht-abelschen Dualitätstheorie lokalkompakter Gruppen.* Thesis, Berlin, 1977.

[Pal] Palmer Th. W. *Banach algebras and the general theory of *-algebras. Vol. II.* Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[Tak] Takesaki M. On the conjugate space of operator algebra. *Tohoku Math. J.*, **10** (1958), 194–203.

[Tay] Taylor J. L. L-Subalgebras of $M(G)$. *Trans. AMS* **135** (1969), 105–113.

[Tel] Teleman S. On reduction theory. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **21**, no. 4 (1976), 465–486.

[Wal] Walter M. E. W^*-algebras and nonabelian harmonic analysis. *J. Funct. Anal.* **11** (1972), 17–38.

[Wil] Wils W. Direct integrals of Hilbert spaces I, II. *Math. Scand.* **26** (1970), 73–88 and 89–102.